EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

February 13, 2003

RECEIVED

FEB 2 5 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Honorable Michael K. Powell, Chairman
Honorable Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner
Honorable Michael Copps, Commissioner
Hanorable Kevin Martin, Commissioner
Honorable Jonathan Adel stein, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte

CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, and 98-147

Dear Chairm an Powell and Commissioners:

I, the undersigned chief executive officer of a competitive provider of local telecommunic ations services, have reviewed the **network** element unbundling principles and standards set forth by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissione rs ("NARUC) in their February 6, 2003 letter filed in this proceedin g. I am writing to express my full and unequivocal support for the NAK UC frame work.

Our industry has invested billions of dollars in infrastructur **e**, and have led the way in deploying innovative local telecom munications services to millions of consumers throughout the United Stales. Our business plans have been developed in reliance upon the twin promises of the **1996** Telecommunications. Act and state and federal unbundling rules. I believe that the NAKUC framework would allow **our** industry **a fair** and **reasonable** chance to continue to provide competitive offerings to the millions **of** residences and small business consumers that have come to rely upon them. By adopting the NAKUC framework, the Commission can achieve its complementar y objectives of establishing **a** pro-competitive deregulatory unbundling framework and creating an unbundling regime that complies with the D.C. Circuit's decision in *USTA*,' which demands that the Commission's unbundling rules be the result of **a** fact-specific inquiry.

The NAKUC framework calls for the Commission to promulg ate the baseline Section 251 impairment test applicable to all elements. State commissions, in turn, will be charged with applying the Commission's impairment standard to all elements, and must remove from the list

SeeLetter from David Svanda, NAKUC President and Michigan Commissi oner, et al. to Chairman Powell (Feb. 6,2003)

² USTA v. FCC, 290 F.3d 415, 422 (D.C. Cir. 2002) ("USTA).

RECEIVED

FE3 2 8 2003

Honorable Michael K. Powell, et al February 11, 2003 Page 2

Federal Germunications Commission Office in Geometry

those UNEs where it is demonstrated that no impairment exists. By properly placing the fact-finding and decision-mak ing burde ns upon state commissions, the NARUC framework allows the Commission to respond appropriately to both the Court of Appeals in USTA, and the Supreme Court's decision in Verizon.' Those decisions require that the Commission an impart is different allows for detailed, fact-base application of the impairment factor rather than a uniform national le that applies in every geographic market and custome class. The NARUC framework allows state commissions to assess impairment on a market-by-market basis, and tailor the availability of specific network elements — r any necessary transition process—where the state commission finds that market conditions dictate that an element should be removed. Accordingly, the regime contemplated by NARUC ensures that competitive conditions mast conducive to continued facilities inveshment and vibrant competition are fostered.

At bottom, the NARUC framework will promote the continued growth and expansion of local competition by ensuring that innovative services are available to all consumers – including mass-market residential and small business customers — throughout the country. Any plan that would adopt a "one size fits all" national unbundling regime would not only be contrary to the requirements of USTA, but would effectively unhinge the efforts of entrepreneurs and innovators in the competitive telecom sector.

Accordingly, we respectfully urge you to adopt the canpromiseframework submitted by NARUC on February 6.

Sincerel y,